

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

AL AND PO CORPORATION,	)	
individually and on behalf of all others	)	
similarly situated,	)	
	)	Case No. 14-cv-2094
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
NATIONAL VEIN TECHNOLOGIES,	)	
LLC, a Florida corporation,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff AL and PO Corporation (“Plaintiff”) brings this Class Action Complaint against Defendant National Vein Technologies, LLC (“NVT”), on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, and complains and alleges upon personal knowledge as to itself and its own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by its attorneys.

**I. NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. NVT offers vascular vein supplies, including compression stockings and socks, to medical professionals and entities in the medical industry. In an effort to market its products and services, NVT sent unsolicited junk faxes in bulk – “fax blasts” – to unwilling recipients with deficient opt-out notices. Fax advertising shifts the cost of the marketing promotion from the marketer – the sender of the fax – to the unwilling recipient, and is expressly prohibited by the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §227, *et seq.* (“TCPA”).

2. Neither Plaintiff nor the other Class members ever consented, authorized, desired or permitted NVT to send them faxes.

3. In order to redress these injuries, Plaintiff seeks an injunction requiring NVT to cease all unsolicited faxing and deficient opt-out notice activities, and an award of statutory damages to the Class members under the TCPA, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

## **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has original jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because they arise under the laws of the United States. Further, this Court has diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because (a) at least one member of the putative class is a citizen of a State different from NVT, (b) the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and (c) none of the exceptions under that subsection apply to this action.

5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over NVT under 735 ILCS 5/2-209, because a substantial portion of the wrongdoing alleged in this Complaint took place in or was directed toward the State of Illinois. NVT, by sending junk faxes in bulk into this State soliciting business, has sufficient contacts in this State to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this court permissible.

6. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this District.

## **III. PARTIES**

### ***Plaintiff***

7. Plaintiff is a corporation organized in and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois with its principal place of business in Cook County, Illinois. For purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1332, Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Illinois.

***Defendant***

8. NVT is a corporation organized in and existing under the laws of the State of Florida with its principal place of business in Hillsborough County, Florida. For purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1332, NVT is a citizen of the State of Florida.

**IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

9. On or about December 6, 2013, Plaintiff received an unsolicited fax advertisement attached as Exhibit A. Discovery may reveal the transmission of additional faxes.

10. The fax contains the following opt-out notice: “\*\*To no longer Receive Fax’s Call 888-320-1322 Thank You\*\*”

11. The notice fails to satisfy all of the opt-out notice requirements of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(2)(D). For example, the notice does not state that failure to comply with an opt-out request within the shortest reasonable time is unlawful, as required by § 227(b)(2)(D)(ii).

12. NVT sent the fax and/or is responsible, as a matter of law, for the actions of the individuals who sent the fax.

13. NVT’s products and services were advertised in the fax, and NVT derived an economic benefit from the transmission of the fax.

14. Plaintiff had no prior relationship with NVT and did not consent to the receipt of the above-referenced (or any) fax advertisement from NVT.

15. On information and belief, the fax attached as Exhibit A was transmitted as part of a mass broadcasting, or “blast,” of faxes.

16. There is no reasonable means by which Plaintiff and Class members can avoid receiving unsolicited and unlawful faxes.

**V. CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

17. Plaintiff brings Count I, as set forth below, on behalf of itself and as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rules 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a class defined as:

**Unsolicited Fax Class (“Class One”)**

All individuals or entities in the United States who received one or more unsolicited facsimile advertisements from or on behalf of Defendant National Vein Technologies, LLC.

Excluded from Class One are NVT and its subsidiaries and affiliates; all persons who make a timely election to be excluded from Class One; governmental entities; and the judge to whom this case is assigned and any immediate family members thereof.

18. Plaintiff brings Count II, as set forth below, on behalf of itself and as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rules 23(a), (b)(2), and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of a class defined as:

**Opt-out Notice Class (“Class Two”)**

All individuals or entities in the United States who received one or more facsimile advertisements from or on behalf of Defendant National Vein Technologies, LLC with opt-out notices that do not comply with 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(2)(D).

Excluded from Class Two are NVT and its subsidiaries and affiliates; all persons who make a timely election to be excluded from Class Two; governmental entities; and the judge to whom this case is assigned and any immediate family members thereof.

19. “Class members” or “the Class” refer to both Class One and Class Two, unless otherwise stated.

20. Certification of Plaintiff’s claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiff can prove the elements of its claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

21. **Numerosity – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(1).** The members of the Class are so numerous that individual joinder of all Class members is impracticable. On information and belief, there are thousands of consumers and recipients who have been damaged by NVT's wrongful conduct as alleged herein. The precise number of Class members and their addresses is presently unknown to Plaintiff, but may be ascertained from NVT's books and records. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by recognized, Court-approved notice dissemination methods, which may include U.S. mail, electronic mail, Internet postings, and/or published notice.

22. **Commonality and Predominance – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3).** This action involves common questions of law and fact, which predominate over any questions affecting individual Class members, including, without limitation:

- a. whether NVT engaged in a pattern of sending unsolicited fax advertisements as alleged herein;
- b. the manner in which NVT compiled or obtained its list of fax numbers;
- c. whether NVT violated the TCPA;
- d. whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to actual, statutory, or other forms of damages, and other monetary relief and, in what amount(s);
- e. whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to treble damages based on the willfulness of NVT's conduct; and
- f. whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to equitable relief, including but not limited to injunctive relief and restitution.

23. **Typicality – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(3).** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other Class members' claims because, among other things, all Class members were comparably injured through the uniform prohibited conduct described above.

**24. Adequacy of Representation – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4).**

Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because its interests do not conflict with the interests of the other Class members it seeks to represent; it has retained counsel competent and experienced in complex commercial and class action litigation; and Plaintiff intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of the Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by the Plaintiff and its counsel.

**25. Declaratory and Injunctive Relief – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2).**

NVT has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and the other Class members, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and declaratory relief, as described below, with respect to the Class as a whole.

**26. Superiority – Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3).** A class action is superior to any other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, and no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action. The damages or other financial detriment suffered by Plaintiff and the other Class members are relatively small compared to the burden and expense that would be required to individually litigate their claims against NVT, so it would be impracticable for Class members to individually seek redress for NVT's wrongful conduct. Even if Class members could afford individual litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation creates a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments, and increases the delay and expense to all parties and the court system. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

**V. CLAIMS ALLEGED**

**COUNT I**

**Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227  
(On Behalf of Class One)**

27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-26 as if fully set forth herein.

28. NVT and/or its agents used a telephone facsimile machine, computer or other device to send unsolicited advertisements to a telephone facsimile machine, in violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1)(C).

29. On information and belief, these unsolicited advertisements were transmitted *en masse* without the prior express consent of Plaintiff and Class One.

30. As a result of NVT's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class One suffered actual damages and, under Section 227(b)(3), are entitled to recover for actual monetary loss from such a violation, or to receive at least \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater, or both such actions.

**COUNT II**

**Insufficient Opt-out Notice in Violation of the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(2)(D)  
(On Behalf of Class Two)**

31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-26 as if fully set forth herein.

32. NVT's fax fails to satisfy all of the opt-out notice requirements under § 227(b)(2)(D). Namely, the opt-out notice fails to:

- a. appear clearly and conspicuously on the first page of the fax, as required by § 227(b)(2)(D)(i);
- b. state that the sender's failure to comply with an opt-out request within the shortest time reasonable is unlawful, as required by § 227(b)(2)(D)(ii);
- c. set forth the elements of a valid opt-out request, as required by § 227(b)(2)(D)(iii); and

- d. include a domestic telephone and fax number for the recipient to submit an opt-out request, as required by § 227(b)(2)(D)(iv)(I).

33. As a result of NVT's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and Class Two suffered actual damages and, under Section 227(b)(3), are entitled to recover for actual monetary loss from such violations, or to receive at least \$500 in damages for each such violation, whichever is greater, or both such actions.

## **VI. JURY DEMAND**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all claims in this Complaint so triable.

## **VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff AL and PO Corporation, individually and on behalf of the Class, requests that the Court enter an Order as follows:

- A. Certifying the Class as defined above, appointing Plaintiff AL and PO Corporation as the representative of the Class, and appointing its counsel as Class Counsel;
- B. Awarding actual and statutory damages;
- C. Enjoining Defendant National Vein Technologies, LLC from sending unsolicited facsimile advertisements with deficient opt-out notices;
- D. Awarding of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- E. Awarding such other and further relief that the Court deems reasonable and just.



Dated: March 25, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

AL AND PO CORPORATION, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly situated



By: \_\_\_\_\_  
One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff  
And the Proposed Putative Class

Joseph J. Siprut  
*jsiprut@siprut.com*  
Gregg M. Barbakoff  
*gbarbakoff@siprut.com*  
Ismael T. Salam  
*isalam@siprut.com*  
**SIPRUT PC**  
17 North State Street  
Suite 1600  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
312.236.0000  
Fax: 312.470.6588

4815-3661-4681, v. 1